arXiv:1511.05875v2 [math.CO] 30 Sep 2016

Avoiding two consecutive blocks of same size and same sum over $\mathbb{Z}^2$

Michaël Rao and Matthieu Rosenfeld

October 3, 2016

Abstract

A long standing question asks whether $\mathbb{Z}$ is uniformly 2-repetitive [Justin 1972, Pirillo and Varricchio, 1994], that is, whether there is an infinite sequence over a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ avoiding two consecutive blocks of same size and same sum or not. Cassaigne *et al.* [2014] showed that $\mathbb{Z}$ is not uniformly 3-repetitive. We show that $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is not uniformly 2-repetitive. Moreover, this problem is related to a question from Mäkelä in combinatorics on words and we answer to a weak version of it.

1 Introduction

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and $(G, +)$ a group. An *additive k-th power* is a non empty word $w_1 \dots w_k$ over $\Sigma \subseteq G$ such that all for every $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, $|w_i| = |w_1|$ and $\sum w_i = \sum w_1$ (where $\sum v = \sum_{i=1}^{|v|} v[i]$). Using the terminology of Pirillo and Varricchio [13] we say that a group $(G, +)$ is *k-uniformly repetitive* if every infinite word over a finite subset of G contains an additive k-th power as a factor. It is a long standing question whether $\mathbb{Z}$ is uniformly 2-repetitive or not [8, 13]. Cassaigne et al. [3] showed that there is an infinite word over the finite alphabet $\{0, 1, 3, 4\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ without additive 3rd powers, that is $\mathbb{Z}$ is not uniformly 3-repetitive. In Section 5 we show that:

Theorem 7. *$\mathbb{Z}^2$ is not uniformly 2-repetitive.*

When $(G, +)$ is the abelian-free group generated by the elements of Σ we talk about abelian repetitions. The avoidability of abelian repetitions has been studied since a question from Erdős [6, 7]. An *abelian square* is any non-empty word uv where u and v are permutations of each other. Erdős asked whether there is an infinite abelian-square-free word over an alphabet of size 4. Keränen [10] answered positively to Erdős's question in 1992 by giving a 85-uniform morphism, found with the assistance of a computer, whose fixed point is abelian-square-free.

Erdős also asked if it is possible to construct a word over 2 letters which contains only small squares. Entringer, Jackson, and Schatz [5] gave a positive

answer to this question. They also showed that every infinite word over 2 letters contains arbitrarily long abelian squares. This naturally leads to the following question from Mäkelä (see [11]):

Problem 1. *Can you avoid abelian squares of the form uv where $|u| \geq 2$ over three letters ? - Computer experiments show that you can avoid these patterns at least in words of length 450.*

We show that the answer is positive if we replace 2 by 6:

Theorem 10. *There is an infinite word over 3 letters avoiding abelian square of period more than* 5*.*

The proofs of Theorem 7 and Theorem 10 are close in the spirit (in fact both theorems implies independently that $\mathbb{Z}^3$ is not 2-repetitive in the terminology of Pirillo and Varricchio [13]). Moreover the proofs are both based on explicit constructions using the following morphism:

$$h_6 : \begin{cases} a \to & ace & \quad b \to & adf \\ c \to & bdf & \quad d \to & bdc \\ e \to & afe & \quad f \to & bce. \end{cases}$$

First, we need to show the following:

Theorem 4. *$h_6^\omega(a)$ is abelian-square-free.*

We describe in Section 3 an algorithm to decide if a morphic word avoids abelian powers, and use it to show Theorem 4. This algorithm generalizes the previously known ones [2, 4], and can decide on a wider class of morphisms which includes h_6. In Section 4, we explain how to extend the decidability to additive and long abelian powers. Finally, in Section 5, we give the results and the constructions.

2 Preliminaries

We use terminology and notations of Lothaire [12] . An *alphabet* Σ is a finite set of *letters*, and a *word* is a (finite or infinite) sequence of letters. The set of finite words is denoted by Σ^* and the empty word by ε. One can also view Σ^* equipped with the concatenation as the free monoid over Σ.

For any word w, we denote by $|w|$ the length of w and for any letter $a \in \Sigma$, $|w|_a$ is the number of occurrences of a in w. The *Parikh vector* of a word $w \in \Sigma^*$, denoted by $\Psi(w)$, is the vector indexed by Σ such that for every $a \in \Sigma$, $\Psi(w)[a] = |w|_a$. Two words u and v are *abelian equivalent*, denoted by $u \approx_a v$, if they are permutations of each other, or equivalently if $\Psi(u) = \Psi(v)$. For any integer $k \geq 2$, an *abelian k-th power* is a word w that can be written $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k$ with for all $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, $w_i \approx_a w_1$. Its *period* is $|w_i|$. An *abelian square* (resp., *cube*) is an abelian 2nd power (resp., abelian 3rd power).

A word is *abelian-k-th-power-free*, or *avoids abelian k-th powers*, if none of its non-empty factor is an abelian k-th power.

Let $(G,+)$ be a group and $\Phi : (\Sigma^*,.) \to (G,+)$ be a morphism. Two words u and v are Φ-*equivalent*, denoted $u \approx_\Phi v$, if $\Phi(u) = \Phi(v)$. For any $k \geq 2$, a *k-th power modulo* Φ is a word $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k$ with for all $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, $w_i \approx_\Phi w_1$. If moreover $|w_1| = |w_2| = \dots = |w_k|$ then it is a *uniform k-th power modulo* Φ. A *square modulo* Φ (resp., *cube modulo* Φ) is a 2nd power (resp., 3rd power) modulo Φ. In this article, we only consider groups $(G,+) = (\mathbb{Z}^d,+)$ for some $d > 0$. We say that $(G,+)$ is *k-repetitive* (resp., *uniformly k-repetitive*) if for any alphabet Σ and any morphism $\Phi : (\Sigma^*,.) \to (G,+)$ every infinite word over Σ contains a k-power modulo Φ (resp., a uniform k-power modulo Φ). Note that, for any integers n and k, if $(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1},+)$ is k-repetitive then $(\mathbb{Z}^n,+)$ is uniformly k-repetitive. Uniform k-th powers modulo Φ are sometimes called *additive k-th powers*, without mention of the morphism Φ, if the value of $\Phi(a)$ is clear in the context. Φ can be seen as a linear map from the Parikh vector of a word to $\mathbb{Z}^d$, therefore we can associate to Φ the matrix F_Φ such that $\forall w \in \Sigma^*$, $\Phi(w) = F_\Phi \Psi(w)$. Note that if $d = |\Sigma|$ and F_Φ is invertible then two words are abelian-equivalent if and only if they are Φ-equivalent. An application of Szemerédi's theorem shows that for $d = 1$, for any finite alphabet Σ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is not possible to avoid k-th power modulo Φ over Σ, that is, $(\mathbb{Z},+)$ is k-repetitive for any k. On the other hand, whether $\mathbb{Z}$ is uniformly 2-repetitive or not is a long standing open question [8, 13], and Cassaigne et al. [3] showed that $\mathbb{Z}$ is not uniformly 3-repetitive. We show on Theorem 7 that $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is not uniformly 2-repetitive.

Let $\mathrm{Suff}(w)$ (resp., $\mathrm{Pref}(w)$, $\mathrm{Fact}(w)$) be the set of suffixes (resp., prefixes, factors) of w. For any morphism h, let $\mathrm{Suff}(h) = \cup_{a\in\Sigma} \mathrm{Suff}(h(a))$, $\mathrm{Pref}(h) = \cup_{a\in\Sigma} \mathrm{Pref}(h(a))$ and $\mathrm{Fact}(h) = \cup_{a\in\Sigma} \mathrm{Fact}(h(a))$.

Let $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h) = \cup_{i=1}^\infty \mathrm{Fact}(h^i)$, and let h^∞ be the infinite words in the closure of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$. Note that for every h with an eigenvalue of absolute value larger than 1, h^∞ is not empty since $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ is infinite. A word from h^∞ which is a fixed point of h is a *pure morphic word*. A *morphic word* is the image of a pure morphic word by a morphism.

To a morphism h on Σ^*, we associate a matrix M_h on $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ such that $(M_h)_{a,b} = |h(b)|_a$. The *eigenvalues of h* are the eigenvalues of M_h.

Let $h : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ be a morphism, we say that h is *primitive* if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $a \in \Sigma$, $h^k(a)$ contains all the letters of Σ (that is, M_{h^k} is positive). We have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let h be a primitive morphism on Σ with $|\Sigma| > 1$. Then for every fixed point w of h,* $\mathrm{Fact}(w) = \mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$.

Proof. Since h is primitive, for every $a \in \Sigma$, $a \in \mathrm{Fact}(w)$, and for every k, $\mathrm{Fact}(h^k(a)) \subseteq \mathrm{Fact}(h^k(w)) = \mathrm{Fact}(w)$. Thus $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h) \subseteq \mathrm{Fact}(w)$. On the other hand, let k be such that $(M_{h^k})_{a,b} > 0$ for every $a, b \in \Sigma$. Then the function $f_a(l) = |h^{lk}(a)|$ is strictly increasing, with $f_a(l+1) \geq |\Sigma| f_a(l)$, and for every $v \in \mathrm{Fact}(w)$, there is a l such that $v \in \mathrm{Fact}(h^{lk}(w[1]))$, and thus $v \in \mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$. □

k-templates A *k-template* is a $(2k)$-tuple $t = [a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}, \mathbf{d}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ where $a_i \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ and $\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. A word $w = a_1 w_1 a_2 w_2 \ldots w_k a_{k+1}$, where $w_i \in \Sigma^*$, is a *realization* of (or *realizes*) the template t if for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, $\Psi(w_{i+1}) - \Psi(w_i) = \mathbf{d}_i$. A word is then an abelian k-th power if and only if it realizes the k-template $[\varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon, \overrightarrow{0}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{0}]$. A template t is *realizable* by h if there is a word in $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ which realizes t.

Let h be a morphism and let $t' = [a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k+1}, \mathbf{d'}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d'}_{k-1}]$ and $t = [a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}, \mathbf{d}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ be two k-templates. We say that t' *is a parent by h of* t if there are $p_1, s_1, \ldots, p_{k+1}, s_{k+1} \in \Sigma^*$ such that:

- $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$, $h(a'_i) = p_i a_i s_i$,
- $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, $\mathbf{d}_i = M_h \mathbf{d'}_i + \Psi(s_{i+1} p_{i+2}) - \Psi(s_i p_{i+1})$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Par}_h(t)$ the set of parents by h of t. Note that, by definition, for any $t' \in \mathrm{Par}_h(t)$ if t' is realizable by h then t is realizable by h. We show in Proposition 7 that if t is realized by a long enough word from $\mathrm{Par}_h(t)$ then there is a realizable pattern $t' \in \mathrm{Par}_h(t)$.

A template t is *an ancestor* of a template t' if there exists $n \geq 1$ and a sequence of templates $t' = t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n = t$ such that for any i, t_{i+1} is a parent of t_i. A template t' is a *realizable ancestor by h* of a template t if t' is an ancestor by h of t and if there is a word in $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ which realizes t'. For a template t, we denote by $\mathrm{Anc}_h(t)$ (resp., $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t)$) the set of all the ancestors (resp., realizable ancestors) by h of t. Note that a template t is realized by a word from $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t)$ is not empty. We may omit "by h" if the morphism is clear in the context.

In the rest of this section we recall some classical notions from linear algebra.

Jordan decomposition A Jordan block $J_n(\lambda)$ is a $n \times n$ matrix with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ on the diagonal, 1 on top of the diagonal and 0 elsewhere.

$$J_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

We recall the following well known proposition (see [1]).

Proposition 2 (Jordan decomposition)**.** *For any $n \times n$ matrix M on $\mathbb{C}$, there is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix P and a $n \times n$ matrix J such that $M = PJP^{-1}$, and the matrix J is as follows:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1}(\lambda_1) & & & \\ & J_{n_2}(\lambda_2) & & 0 \\ 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_{n_p}(\lambda_p) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the J_i are Jordan blocks. PJP^{-1} is a Jordan decomposition *of M.*

The λ_i, $i \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$, are the (non necessarily distinct) eigenvalues of M, and the n_i are their corresponding algebraic multiplicities.

Note that for every $k \geq 0$, $(J_n(\lambda))^k$ is the $n \times n$ matrix M with $M_{i,j} = \binom{k}{j-i}\lambda^{k-j+i}$, with $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ if $a < b$ or $b < 0$. Thus, if $|\lambda| < 1$, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(J_n(\lambda))^k$ is the matrix N with $N_{i,j} = (1-\lambda)^{i-j-1}$ if $j \geq i$, and 0 otherwise. We can easily deduce from these observations the series of k-th powers of a matrix in Jordan normal form, and its sum.

We introduce some additional notations used in Propositions 5 and 6. Given a square matrix M and PJP^{-1} a Jordan decomposition of M, let $b : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, p\}$ be the function that associates to an index i of M the number corresponding to its Jordan block in the matrix J, thus $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\lambda_{b(i)} = J_{i,i}$. Let B be the map that associate to an index i the submatrix corresponding to the Jordan block containing this index, $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $B(i) = J_{n_{b(i)}}(\lambda_{b(i)})$. For any vector $\mathbf{x}$ and $1 \leq i_s \leq i_e \leq n$ such that i_s is the index of the first row of a Jordan block and i_e is the index of the last row of the same block, we denote by $\mathbf{x}_{[i_s,i_e]}$ the sub-vector of $\mathbf{x}$ starting at index i_s and ending at index i_e and then $(J\mathbf{x})_{[i_s,i_e]} = B(i)\mathbf{x}_{[i_s,i_e]}$. The columns i_s to i_e from P generate the *generalized eigenspace* associated to the eigenvalue λ_i. Let $E_c(M)$ be the *contracting eigenspace* of M, that is, the subspace generated by columns i of P such that $|\lambda_{b(i)}| < 1$. Similarly let $E_e(M)$ be the *expanding eigenspace* of M, that is, the subspace generated by columns i of P such that $|\lambda_{b(i)}| > 1$. Note that $E_c(M)$ and $E_e(M)$ are independent from the Jordan decomposition we chose.

Smith decomposition The Smith decomposition is useful to solve systems of linear Diophantine equations.

Proposition 3 (Smith decomposition)**.** *For any matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n\times m}$, there are $U \in \mathbb{Z}^{n\times n}$, $D \in \mathbb{Z}^{n\times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{Z}^{m\times m}$ such that:*

- *D is diagonal (*i.e. *$D_{i,j} = 0$ if $i \neq j$),*
- *U and V are unimodular (*i.e.*, their determinant is 1 or -1),*
- *$M = UDV$.*

The fact that U and V are unimodular tells us that they are invertible over the integers. If one want to find integer solutions $\mathbf{x}$ of the equation $M\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$, where M is an integer matrix and $\mathbf{y}$ an integer vector, one can use the Smith decomposition UDV of M. One can suppose w.l.o.g. than $n = m$, otherwise, one can fill with zeros. Then $DV\mathbf{x} = U^{-1}\mathbf{y}$. Integer vectors in $\ker(M)$ form a lattice Λ. The set of columns i in V^{-1} such that $D_{i,i} = 0$ gives a basis of Λ. Let $\mathbf{y}' = U^{-1}\mathbf{y}$, which is also an integer vector. Finding the solution $\mathbf{x}'$ of $D\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{y}'$ is easy, since D is diagonal. The set of solutions is non-empty if and only if for every i such that $D_{i,i} = 0$, $\mathbf{y}'_i = 0$ and $D_{i,i}$ divides $\mathbf{y}'_i$ otherwise. One can take $\mathbf{x_0} = V^{-1}\mathbf{x}'_\mathbf{0}$ as a particular solution to $M\mathbf{x_0} = \mathbf{y}$, with $(\mathbf{x}'_\mathbf{0})_i = 0$ if $D_{i,i} = 0$, and $(\mathbf{x}'_\mathbf{0})_i = \mathbf{y}'_i/D_{i,i}$ otherwise. The set of solutions is then $\mathbf{x_0} + \Lambda$.

We denote by $||\mathbf{x}||$ the Euclidean norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}$. For any matrix M, let $||M||$ be its norm induced by the Euclidean norm, that is $||M|| = \sup\left\{\frac{||M\mathbf{x}||}{||\mathbf{x}||} : \mathbf{x} \neq \overrightarrow{0}\right\}$. We will use the following classical Proposition from linear algebra (see [1]).

Proposition 4. *Let M be a matrix, and let μ_{min} (resp., μ_{max}) be the minimum (resp., maximum) over the eigenvalues of M^*M (which are all real and non-negative). Then for any $\mathbf{x}$:*

$$\mu_{min}||\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq ||M\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq \mu_{max}||\mathbf{x}||^2.$$

3 The Abelian-power-free case

In this section, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For any primitive morphism h with no eigenvalue of absolute value 1 and any template t_0 it is possible to decide if* $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ *realizes t_0.*

With the Proposition 1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For any primitive morphism h with no eigenvalue of absolute value 1 it is possible to decide if the fixed points of h are abelian-k-th-power-free.*

The main difference with the algorithm from Currie and Rampersad [4] is that we allow h to have eigenvalues of absolute value less than 1, and this is required for the algorithms presented in Section 4.

The remaining of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. The idea is to compute a finite set S such that $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t_0) \subseteq S \subseteq \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$, and to show that if $w \in \mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ realizes t_0 then there is a small factor of w which realizes a template in S. Thus $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ realizes t_0 if and only if a small factor realizes a template in S.

In this section, we take a primitive morphism h on the alphabet Σ, and let $n = |\Sigma|$. Since the case $|\Sigma| = 1$ is trivial, we suppose $n \geq 2$. Moreover, we take a k-template t_0, for a $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M = M_h$ be the matrix associated to h, *i.e.* $\forall i, j,\ M_{i,j} = |h(j)|_i$. We have the following equality:

$$\forall w \in \Sigma^*,\ \Psi(h(w)) = M\Psi(w).$$

We suppose that M has no eigenvalue of absolute value 1 and that it has at least one eigenvalue of absolute value greater than 1. From Proposition 2 there is an invertible matrix P and a Jordan matrix J such that $M = PJP^{-1}$. Thus $P^{-1}M = JP^{-1}$, and for any vector $\mathbf{x}$, $P^{-1}M\mathbf{x} = JP^{-1}\mathbf{x}$. We define the map r, such that $r(\mathbf{x}) = P^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ and its projections $\forall i,\ r_i(\mathbf{x}) = (P^{-1}\mathbf{x})_i$. Using this notation we have for any w, $r(\Psi(h(w))) = r(M\Psi(w)) = Jr(\Psi(w))$.

Bounds on the P basis We show that for any vector $\mathbf{x}$ appearing on a realizable ancestor of any template t_0 and any i, $|r_i(\mathbf{x})|$ is bounded, handling separately generalized eigenvectors of eigenvalues of absolute value less and more than 1. It implies that there are finitely many such integer vectors, since columns of P form a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Proposition 5. *For any i such that $|\lambda_{b(i)}| < 1$, $\{|r_i(\Psi(w))| : w \in \mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)\}$ is bounded.*

Proof. Take i such that $|\lambda_{b(i)}| < 1$, and let i_s (resp., i_e) be the index that starts (resp., ends) the Jordan block $b(i)$ (thus $i_s \leq i \leq i_e$). Let w be a factor of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$. Then there is a factor $w' \in \mathrm{Fact}(h)$, an integer l and for every $j \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$, a pair of words $(s_j, p_j) \in (\mathrm{Suff}(h), \mathrm{Pref}(h))$ such that:

$$w = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{l-1} h^j(s_j)\right) h^l(w') \left(\prod_{j=l-1}^{0} h^j(p_j)\right).$$

Thus

$$r(\Psi(w)) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} J^j r(\Psi(s_j)) + J^l r(\Psi(w')) + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} J^j r(\Psi(p_j))$$

and

$$r(\Psi(w))_{[i_s,i_e]} = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} B(i)^j r(\Psi(s_j p_j))_{[i_s,i_e]} + B(i)^l r(\Psi(w'))_{[i_s,i_e]}.$$

Since $\lim_{l\to\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{l} B(i)^j\right)$ exists, $|r_i(\Psi(w))|$ is bounded.

More precisely, a bound for $|r_i(\Psi(w))|$ can be found by the following way. Working on the free group on Σ and using the fact that h is primitive, for every $l' > l$ one can find $a \in \{x^{-1} : x \in \Sigma\}$ and extend the sequence $(s_j, p_j)_{j\in\{0,\ldots,l-1\}}$ to the sequence $(s_j, p_j)_{j\in\{0,\ldots,l'-1\}}$ such that:

$$w = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{l'-1} h^j(s_j)\right) h^{l'}(a) \left(\prod_{j=l'-1}^{0} h^j(p_j)\right).$$

Thus there is an infinite sequence $(s_j, p_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of elements in $(\mathrm{Suff}(h), \mathrm{Pref}(h))$ such that

$$r(\Psi(w))_{[i_s,i_e]} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B(i)^j r(\Psi(s_j p_j))_{[i_s,i_e]}.$$

For any i such that $|\lambda_{b(i)}| < 1$, $r_i(\Psi(w))$ is bounded by $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, where:

- $\mathbf{v}$ is the vector such that $\mathbf{v}_j = (1 - |\lambda_{b(i)}|)^{i-j-1}$ if $j \in \{i, \ldots, i_e\}$, and zero otherwise,

- $\mathbf{u}$ is the vector such that $\mathbf{u}_j = \max\{|r_j(\Psi(sp))| : (s,p) \in (\mathrm{Suff}(h), \mathrm{Pref}(h))\}$.

□

□

Let $r_i^* = 2 \times \max\{|r_i(\Psi(w))| : w \in \mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)\}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_B$ be the set of templates $t = [a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}, \mathbf{d}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ such that for every i with $|\lambda_{b(i)}| < 1$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, $|r_i(\mathbf{d}_j)| \leq r_i^*$.

Corollary 2. *Every template which is realized by h is in $\mathcal{R}_B$.*

We need a tight upper bound on r_i^* for the algorithm to be efficient. The bound from the last proposition could be too loose, but we can reach better bounds by considering the fact that (since h is primitive) for any $l > 1$, h^l has the same factors than h. For example, for the abelian-square-free morphism h_8 (Section 5.1) the bound for the eigenvalue $\sim (0.33292, 0.67077)$ is 5.9633, and become 1.4394 for $(h_8)^{20}$, while the observed bound on the prefix of size approximately 1 million of a fixed point of $(h_8)^2$ is 1.4341.

For any template t_0, we denote by $\mathbf{X}_{t_0}$ the set of all the vectors that appear on an ancestor of t_0.

Proposition 6. *For every i such that $|\lambda_{b(i)}| > 1$, for every template t_0, $\{|r_i(\mathbf{x})| : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}_{t_0}\}$ is bounded.*

Proof. The proof is close to the proof of Proposition 5. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a vector of $\mathbf{X}_{t_0}$. Then there is a vector $\mathbf{x}_0$ of t_0, an integer l and for every $j \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$, a 4-uplet of words $(s_j, s'_j, p_j, p'_j) \in (\mathrm{Suff}(h), \mathrm{Suff}(h), \mathrm{Pref}(h), \mathrm{Pref}(h))$ such that:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M^j \Psi(s_j p_j) + M^l \mathbf{x} - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M^j \Psi(s'_j p'_j).$$

Thus

$$r(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} J^j r(\Psi(s_j p_j) - \Psi(s'_j p'_j)) + J^l r(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let i_s (resp., i_e) be the starting (resp., ending) index of the block $b(i)$. Thus

$$B(i)^l r(\mathbf{x})_{[i_s, i_e]} = r(\mathbf{x}_0)_{[i_s, i_e]} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} B(i)^j r(\Psi(s'_j p'_j) - \Psi(s_j p_j))_{[i_s, i_e]}.$$

Moreover we know that $B(i)$ is invertible so:

$$r(\mathbf{x})_{[i_s, i_e]} = B(i)^{-l} r(\mathbf{x}_0)_{[i_s, i_e]} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} B(i)^{j-l} (r(\Psi(s'_j p'_j) - \Psi(s_j p_j))_{[i_s, i_e]}.$$

The only eigenvalue of $B(i)^{-1}$ is $\lambda_{b(i)}^{-1}$ and has absolute value less than 1, thus $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||B(i)^{-j}||$ converges. Hence $||r(\mathbf{x})_{[i_s, i_e]}||$ can be bounded by a constant depending only on h, P, J and i. Thus there is a constant r_{i,t_0}^* such that for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}_{t_0}$ $|r_i(\mathbf{x})| \leq r_{i,t_0}^*$. □

As we will see on the next paragraph, we do not need to compute a value for the bound r^*_{i,t_0}. Since the columns of P is a basis, Propositions 5 and 6 imply that the norm of any vector of a template from $\mathcal{R}_B \cap \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$ is bounded, and thus $\mathcal{R}_B \cap \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$ is finite. Moreover we know that $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t_0) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_B \cap \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$ so we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *For any template t_0 and any morphism h whose matrix has no eigenvalue of absolute value 1,* $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t_0)$ *is finite.*

Computation of the parents and ancestors Propositions 5 and 6 give us a naive algorithm to compute a set S of templates such that $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t_0) \subseteq S \subseteq \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$. We first compute a set of templates T_{t_0} whose vectors' coordinates in basis P are bounded by r^*_i or r^*_{i,t_0}, then we compute the parent relation inside T_{t_0} and we select the parents that are accessible from t_0. But this method is not efficient at all, since for morphisms whose fixed points avoid abelian powers, the set of ancestors $\mathcal{R}_B \cap \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$ is usually very small relatively to T_{t_0}.

It is better to use the following algorithm to compute a super-set of realizable ancestors of t_0. We compute recursively a set of templates A_{t_0} that we initialize at $\{t_0\}$, and each time that we add a new template t, we compute the set of parents of t which are in $\mathcal{R}_B$ and add them to A_{t_0}. At any time we have $A_{t_0} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_B \cap \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$ which is finite so this algorithm terminates. Moreover if a parent of a template is realizable then this template also is realizable. It implies that, at the end, $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t_0) \subseteq A_{t_0}$.

We need to be able to compute the set of realizable parents of a template. Let $t = [a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}, \mathbf{d}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ be a template, and assume that $t' = [a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k+1}, \mathbf{d'}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d'}_{k-1}]$ is a parent of t, and t' is realizable by h. Then there are $p_1, s_1, \ldots, p_{k+1}, s_{k+1} \in \Sigma^*$ such that:

- $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$, $h(a'_i) = p_i a_i s_i$,
- $\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, $\mathbf{d}_i = M\mathbf{d'}_i + \Psi(s_{i+1}p_{i+2}) - \Psi(s_i p_{i+1})$.

There are finitely many ways of choosing the a'_i in t' and finitely many ways of choosing the s_i and the p_i, so we only need to be able to compute the possible values of the $\mathbf{d'}_i$ of a template with fixed $a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k+1}$ and $s_1, p_1, \ldots, s_{k+1}, p_{k+1}$. (Note that this is easy if M is invertible.)

Suppose we want to compute $\mathbf{d'}_m$ for some m. That is, we want to compute all the integer solutions $\mathbf{x}$ of $M\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$, where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{d}_m - \Psi(s_{m+1}p_{m+2}) + \Psi(s_m p_{m+1})$. If this equation has no integer solution, then the template t has no parents with this choice of a_i, p_i and s_i. Otherwise, we use the Smith decomposition of M to find a solution $\mathbf{x_0}$ and a basis $(\beta_1, ..., \beta_\kappa)$ (where $\kappa = \dim \ker(M)$) of the lattice $\Lambda = \ker(M) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. We are only interested in parents realizable by h, so we want to compute the set $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x_0} + \Lambda : \ \forall i \text{ s.t. } |\lambda_{b(i)}| < 1, \ |r_i(\mathbf{x})| \leq r^*_i\}$. Since Λ is included in the generalized eigenspace of the eigenvalue 0, we know by Proposition 5 that $\mathbf{X}$ is finite. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the matrix whose columns are the elements of the basis $(\beta_1, ..., \beta_\kappa)$, and let $\mathbf{X}_\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa : \ \mathbf{x_0} + \mathcal{B}\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}\}$.

$\ker(M)$ is generated by $\mathcal{B}$ but also by the generalized eigenvectors corresponding to a null eigenvalue which are columns of P. So there is a matrix Q made of rows of P^{-1} such that $Q\mathcal{B}$ is invertible. All the rows of Q are rows of P^{-1} thus from Proposition 5 there are $c_1, \dots, c_\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}_\mathcal{B}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}$, $|(Q(\mathcal{B}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x_0}))_i| \leq c_i$ thus $|(Q\mathcal{B}\mathbf{x})_i| \leq c_i + |(Q\mathbf{x_0})_i|$. Then:

$$||Q\mathcal{B}\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (c_i + |Q\mathbf{x_0}|)^2 = c.$$

From Proposition 4 if μ_{min} is the smallest eigenvalue of $(Q\mathcal{B})^*(Q\mathcal{B})$ then $\mu_{min}||\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq ||Q\mathcal{B}\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq c$. Moreover $Q\mathcal{B}$ is invertible, thus $\mu_{min} \neq 0$, and $\mathbf{X}_\mathcal{B}$ contains only integer points in the ball of radius $\sqrt{\frac{c}{\mu_{min}}}$. We can easily compute a finite super-set of $\mathbf{X}_\mathcal{B}$, and thus of $\mathbf{X}$, and then we can select the elements that are actually in $\mathbf{X}$. The choice of $\mathbf{x_0}$ is significant for the sharpness of the bound c: it is preferable to take a $\mathbf{x_0}$ nearly orthogonal to $\ker(M)$.

Comparing to the factors Let $t = [a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}, \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ be a k-template. Let $\Delta(t) = \max_{i=1}^{k-1} ||\mathbf{d}_i||_1$ and $\delta = \max_{a \in \Sigma} |h(a)|$.

Proposition 7. *Let t be a k-template and $w \in \text{Fact}^\infty(h)$ a word which realizes t. If $|w| > k\left(\frac{(k-1)\Delta(t)}{2} + \delta + 1\right) + 1$ then for every $w' \in \text{Fact}^\infty(h)$ such that $w \in \text{Fact}(h(w'))$ there is a parent t' of t such that w' realizes t'.*

Proof. Let $t = [a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}, \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ be a k-template and $w \in \text{Fact}^\infty(h)$ a word which realizes t such that $|w| > k\left(\frac{(k-1)\Delta(t)}{2} + \delta + 1\right) + 1$. Then there are $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \Sigma^*$ such that $w = a_1 w_1 a_2 w_2 \dots w_k a_{k+1}$ and $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, $\Psi(w_{i+1}) - \Psi(w_i) = \mathbf{d}_i$. Thus for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $j < i$, $\Psi(w_i) = \Psi(w_j) + \sum_{m=j}^{i-1} \mathbf{d}_m$ and, by triangular inequality, we have:

$$|w_i| - |w_j| = ||\Psi(w_i)||_1 - ||\Psi(w_j)||_1 \leq \sum_{m=j}^{i-1} ||\mathbf{d}_m||_1 \leq (i-j)\Delta(t).$$

Therefore for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $|w_j| \leq |i-j|\Delta(t) + |w_i|$, and for any i, $|w| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k} (|i-m|\Delta(t) + |w_i|) + k + 1$. Thus $|w| \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\Delta(t) + k|w_i| + k + 1$. Then $k\left(\frac{(k-1)\Delta(t)}{2} + |w_i| + 1\right) + 1 \geq |w| > k\left(\frac{(k-1)\Delta(t)}{2} + \delta + 1\right) + 1$, and consequently $\forall i$, $|w_i| > \delta = \max_{a \in \Sigma} |h(a)|$. We also know that $\forall i$, $w_i \in \text{Fact}^\infty(h)$ so there are $w'_1, \dots, w'_k \in \Sigma^*$, $a'_1, \dots, a'_{k+1} \in \Sigma$, $p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \in \text{Pref}(h)$ and $s_1, \dots, s_{k+1} \in \text{Suff}(h)$ such that:

- $\forall i$, $w_i = s_i h(w'_i) p_{i+1}$,
- $\forall i$, $h(a'_i) = p_i a_i s_i$,
- $w' = a'_1 w'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_k w'_k a'_{k+1}$.

w' realizes the template $t' = [a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k+1}, \Psi(w'_2)-\Psi(w'_1), \ldots, \Psi(w'_k)-\Psi(w'_{k-1})]$ which is a parent of t. □

Proposition 8. *Let S be such that $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t_0) \subseteq S \subseteq \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$, and let $s = \max_{t\in S} k\left(\frac{(k-1)\Delta(t)}{2} + \delta + 1\right) + 1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *there is a factor of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ of size at most s realizing a template t of S,*
2. *there is a factor of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ realizing t_0.*

Proof. Let w be a factor of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ such that the template t_0 is realized by w and $|w| > s$. By the definition of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$, there are $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \Sigma$ such that $w \in \mathrm{Fact}(h^l(a))$. Thus there is a sequence $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{l-1}, w_l$ such that $\forall i, w_{i+1} \in \mathrm{Fact}(h(w_i))$, $w_0 = a$ and $w_l = w$. Then there is $i \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$ such that $|w_i| \leq s$ and $\forall j > i$, $|w_j| > s$. Consequently, from Proposition 7, w_i realizes a template in S.

On the other hand, if a factor in $w' \in \mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ realizes a template in S, then by the definition of the ancestors, there is a factor $w \in \mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ realizing t_0. □

Since h is primitive one can easily compute the factors of size $n+1$ from the set of factors of size n, and the set of factors of size 1 is Σ.

We summarize the proof of Theorem 1. We know that one can compute a set S such that $\mathrm{Ranc}_h(t_0) \subseteq S \subseteq \mathrm{Anc}_h(t_0)$. Moreover from Proposition 8 we know that there is a s such that the two following are equivalent:

1. there is a factor of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ of size at most s realizing a template t of S,
2. there is a factor of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ realizing t_0.

The condition 1 can be checked by a computer by generating all the factors of size less than s and comparing them to all the element of S. Hence one can decide if there is a factor of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ that realizes t_0.

In Section 5.1, we present two new morphisms whose fixed points are abelian-square-free. It would be interesting for the sake of completeness to be able to decide the abelian-k-th-power freeness for any morphism. We can get ride of the primitivity condition with a lot of technicalities, but it seems much harder to deal with eigenvalues of absolute value exactly 1

Problem 2. *Is is decidable for any morphism h if the fixed points of h are abelian-k-th-power-free.*

4 Applications

If a morphism h has k eigenvalues of absolute value less than 1 (counting their algebraic multiplicities), then Proposition 5 tells us that the Parikh vectors of

the factors of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ are close to the subspace $E_e(M_h)$ of dimension $n-k$. This can be useful to avoid patterns in images of $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$.

If one tries to avoid a template t in a morphic word $g(h^\infty)$, with $g : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$ and $|\Sigma'| < |\Sigma|$, then the set of parents of t is generally infinite: the set of the vectors in the parents is close to the subspace $\ker(M_g)$ of dimension $|\Sigma| - |\Sigma'|$ (if M_g has full rank). But if the intersection of $\ker(M_g)$ with $E_e(M_h)$ is of dimension 0 then we can generate a finite super-set of the realizable parents, and decide with the algorithm from Section 3.

We can use the same idea to avoid additive powers. This is a generalization of the method used in [3] to show that we can avoid additive cubes in a word over $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$.

We present here two applications of this method: decide if a morphic word does not contain large abelian powers and decide if a pure morphic word avoids additive powers. Other possible applications, such as deciding if a morphic word avoids k-abelian powers, are not explained here, but the method can be easily generalized.

4.1 Deciding if a morphic word contains large abelian power

In this subsection we take a second morphism g and we want to decide whether the morphic word $g(h^\infty(a))$ avoids large abelian k-th powers.

Proposition 9. *If M_h has no eigenvalue of absolute value 1 and $E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(M_g) = \{\vec{0}\}$, then for any template t' one can compute a finite set S that contains any template realizable by h and parent of t' by g.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the computation of parents in Section 3. Let $M_h = PJP^{-1}$ be a Jordan decomposition of M_h. Let $\kappa = \dim \ker(M_g)$ and $\Lambda = \ker(M_g) \cap \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$. We use the Smith decomposition of M_g to get the matrix B, whose columns form a basis of Λ. Assume $t = [a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}, \mathbf{d}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ is realizable by h and parent of $t' = [a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k+1}, \mathbf{d'}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d'}_{k-1}]$ by g. Then there are $p_1, s_1, \ldots, p_{k+1}, s_{k+1} \in \Sigma^*$ such that:

- $\forall i,\ g(a_i) = p_i a'_i s_i$
- $\forall i,\ \mathbf{d'}_i = M_g \mathbf{d}_i + \Psi(s_{i+1}p_{i+2}) - \Psi(s_i p_{i+1})$.

There are finitely many choices for the a_i, s_i and p_i. For a fixed choice of a_i, s_i and p_i, we want to know all the possible values for $\mathbf{d}_m$ for some m with fixed $a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}$ and $p_1, s_1, \ldots, p_{k+1}, s_{k+1}$. Then $\mathbf{d}_m$ is an integer solution of $M_g\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$, with $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{d'}_m + \Psi(s_m p_{m+1}) - \Psi(s_{m+1}p_{m+2})$. We will see that we have only finitely many choices for $\mathbf{d}_m$. As already explained, if such a solution exists, then $\mathbf{d}_m \in \mathbf{x_0} + \Lambda$, and $\mathbf{x_0}$ can be found with the Smith decomposition of M_g.

Let Q be the rectangular submatrix of P^{-1} such that the ith line of P^{-1} is a line of Q if and only if $|\lambda_{b(i)}| < 1$. For every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^\kappa \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$, $B\mathbf{x} \in \ker(M_g)$. Then, by hypothesis, $B\mathbf{x} \notin E_e(M_h)$ and $QB\mathbf{x} \neq \vec{0}$ since the lines of Q generate

the subspace orthogonal to $E_e(M_h)$. Thus we have $\text{rank}(QB) = \kappa$ which implies that there is a submatrix Q' of Q such that $Q'B$ is invertible.

For all $i \in \{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$, let p_i to be the function such that for all vector $\mathbf{x}$, $p_i(\mathbf{x}) = (Q'\mathbf{x})_i$. From Proposition 5, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$, there is $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any two factors u and v of $\text{Fact}^\infty(h)$, $|p_i(\Psi(u) - \Psi(v))| \leq c_i$.

Let $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x_0} + \Lambda : \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, \kappa\},\ |p_i(\mathbf{x})| \leq c_i\}$. Since we are only interested in realizable solutions, $\mathbf{d}_m$ has to be in $\mathbf{X}$. Let $\mathbf{X}_B = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa : (\mathbf{x_0} + B\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{X}\}$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}_B$ then for all i, $|p_i(B\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x_0})| \leq c_i$ thus $|p_i(B\mathbf{x})| \leq c_i + |p_i(\mathbf{x_0})|$. Then $||Q'B\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l}(c_i + |p_i(\mathbf{x_0})|)^2 = c$. From Proposition 4, if μ_{min} is the smallest eigenvalue of $(Q'B)^*(Q'B)$, we have $\mu_{min}||\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq ||Q'B\mathbf{x}||^2 \leq c$. Since $Q'B$ is invertible, $\mu_{min} \neq 0$ and $||\mathbf{x}|| \leq \sqrt{\frac{c}{\mu_{min}}}$. Then $\mathbf{X}_B$ and $\mathbf{X}$ are finite, and we can easily compute them. □

Proposition 10. *If no ancestor of* $[\varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon, \overrightarrow{0}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{0}]$ *by* g *is realizable by* h *then* $g(\text{Fact}^\infty(h))$ *avoids abelian* k*-th power of period larger than* $\max_{a\in\Sigma} |g(a)|$.

The condition of Proposition 10 can be easily checked by a computer using Proposition 9 and Theorem 1. If one wants to decide if $g(\text{Fact}^\infty(h))$ avoids abelian k-th powers of period at least $p \leq \max_{a\in\Sigma} |g(a)|$, then one can use Proposition 10 and check if $g(\text{Fact}^\infty(h))$ does not contain an abelian k-th power of period l for every $p \leq l < \max_{a\in\Sigma} |g(a)|$. If $p > \max_{a\in\Sigma} |g(a)|$, then one can take a large enough integer k such that $p \leq \max_{a\in\Sigma} |g(h^k(a))|$, and make the computation on $g \circ h^k$ instead of g. Note that if $E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(M_g) = \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(M_{g\circ h^k}) = \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$. Otherwise, let $\mathbf{x} \in (E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(M_{g\circ h^k})) \setminus \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$. Then $M_{g\circ h^k} = M_g M_h^k$, and $M_h^k\mathbf{x} \in \ker(M_g)$. Moreover $\mathbf{x} \in E_e(M_g)$, that is $M_h^k\mathbf{x} \in E_e(M_g)$ and $M_h^k\mathbf{x} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$. Thus $M_h^k\mathbf{x} \in E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(M_g) \setminus \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$, and we have a contradiction. Consequently we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let* $h : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ *be a primitive morphism with no eigenvalue of absolute value* 1*, let* $g : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma'^*$ *be a morphism, and let* $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$*. If* $E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(M_g) = \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$ *then one can decide whether* $g(h^\infty(a))$ *avoids abelian* k*-th power of period larger than* p.

In Section 5.4, we present a morphic word over 3 letters which avoids large abelian squares.

4.2 Deciding if a pure morphic word avoids additive powers on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

In this part we consider the morphism $\Phi : (\Sigma^*, .) \to (\mathbb{Z}^d, +)$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the matrix F_Φ be such that $\forall w$, $\Phi(w) = F_\Phi\Psi(w)$.

Proposition 11. *If* M_h *has no eigenvalue of absolute value* 1 *and* $E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(\Phi) = \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$ *then one can compute a finite set of templates* S *such that each* k*-th power modulo* Φ *in* $\text{Fact}^\infty(\text{h})$ *is a realization of a template in* S.

Proof. One wants to compute the set S of all realizable templates $t = [\varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon, \mathbf{d}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}]$ such that $F_\Phi \mathbf{d}_i = \overrightarrow{0}$. This set is finite, and we can compute a finite super-set of it exactly as in Proposition 9 using Smith normal form of F_Φ and Proposition 4 to bound the coefficients of the elements of the basis. □

Proposition 11 combined with Theorem 1 give directly the following result which allows us to decide k-th-power-modulo-Φ freeness.

Theorem 3. *Let $h : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ be a primitive morphism with no eigenvalue of absolute value 1, and let $\Phi : \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{Z}^d$ a morphism. If $E_e(M_h) \cap \ker(\Phi) = \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$ then one can decide whether every word in $\mathrm{Fact}^\infty(h)$ is k-th-power-modulo-Φ-free.*

5 Results

In this section we use the algorithms described in Sections 3 and 4 to show that additive squares are avoidable over $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and that abelian squares of period more than 5 are avoidable over the ternary alphabet. We also give some other new results about additive power avoidability and long 2-abelian power avoidability.

5.1 Abelian-square-free pure morphic words

Let h_6 be the following morphism:

$$h_6 : \begin{cases} a \to ace & b \to adf \\ c \to bdf & d \to bdc \\ e \to afe & f \to bce. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4. *$h_6^\omega(a)$ is abelian-square-free.*

We show it using the algorithm presented in Section 3. We provide a computer program to show Theorem 4 (see Section 5.4).

The matrix associated has the following eigenvalues: 0 (with algebraic multiplicity 3), 3, $\sqrt{3}$ and $-\sqrt{3}$. A Jordan decomposition of M_{h_6} is PJP^{-1}, with:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&3&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&\sqrt{3}&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&-\sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } P = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2+\sqrt{3} & 2-\sqrt{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2-\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3}-2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -3-2\sqrt{3} & 2\sqrt{3}-3 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 3+2\sqrt{3} & 3-2\sqrt{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The bounds on r_i^*, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ computed as explained in the proof of Proposition 5 on $(h_6)^2$, are respectively 4, $\frac{4}{3}$ and $\frac{4}{3}$. The template $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, \overrightarrow{0}]$ has 28514 parents with respect to those bounds, and it has 48459 different ancestors including itself. With this set of template the value of the s from Proposition 8 is

44. None of the factors of $h_6^\omega(a)$ of size less than 44 realizes a forbidden pattern so we can conclude that $h_6^\omega(a)$ avoids abelian squares.

Let h_8 be the following morphism:

$$h_8 : \begin{cases} a \to & h & b \to & g \\ c \to & f & d \to & e \\ e \to & hc & f \to & ac \\ g \to & db & h \to & eb. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5. *Words in h_8^∞ (e.g. infinite fixed points of $(h_8)^2$) are abelian-square-free.*

This morphism may also be interesting because it is a small morphism which gives an abelian-square-free word, its matrix is invertible and it has 4 eigenvalues of absolute value less than 1.

5.2 Additive-square-free words on $\mathbb{Z}^2$

Let Φ be the following morphism:

$$\Phi : \begin{cases} a \to & (1,0,0) & b \to & (1,1,1) \\ c \to & (1,2,1) & d \to & (1,0,1) \\ e \to & (1,2,0) & f \to & (1,1,0). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6. *$h_6^\omega(a)$ does not contains squares modulo Φ.*

In order to check this Theorem, we provide with this article a code that applies the algorithm described in the previous sections to $\phi(h_6^\omega(a))$.

In other words, the fixed point $h_{\text{add}}^\omega\left(\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}\right)$ of the following morphism does not contain any additive square.

$$h_{\text{add}} : \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix} \to & \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\0\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} \to & \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix}2\\1\end{pmatrix} \to & \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix} \to & \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\1\end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix}2\\0\end{pmatrix} \to & \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\0\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix} \to & \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\0\end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

It implies the following result:

Theorem 7. *$\mathbb{Z}^2$ is not uniformly 2-repetitive.*

5.3 Additive-cubes-free words on $\mathbb{Z}$

Cassaigne et al. [3] show that the fixed point of $f : 0 \to 03, 1 \to 43, 3 \to 1, 4 \to 01$, avoids additive cubes. Our algorithm concludes that this morphism avoids additive cubes.

Rao [14] shows that one can avoid additive cubes on the alphabet $\{0,1,5\}$. Thus the only open 4-letters alphabets on small integers are: $\{0,1,2,3\}$, $\{0,1,2,4\}$, $\{0,2,3,5\}$. We are able to prove that the answer is positive with the last two alphabets.

$$\text{Let } h_4 : \begin{cases} 0 \to & 001 \\ 1 \to & 041 \\ 2 \to & 41 \\ 4 \to & 442 \end{cases} \quad \text{and } h'_4 : \begin{cases} 0 \to & 03 \\ 2 \to & 53 \\ 3 \to & 2 \\ 5 \to & 02. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 8. *$h_4^\omega(0)$ and $h_4'^\omega(0)$ do not contain any additive cube.*

It seems easy to find morphisms whose fixed points avoid additive cube for any 4-letters alphabet, except for $\{0,1,2,3\}$.

Problem 3. *Are additive cubes avoidable over $\{0,1,2,3\}$?*

5.4 Mäkelä's Problem 1

Let g_3 be the following morphism:

$$g_3 : \begin{cases} a \to & \texttt{bbbaabaaac} \\ b \to & \texttt{bccacccbcc} \\ c \to & \texttt{ccccbbbcbc} \\ d \to & \texttt{cccccccaa} \\ e \to & \texttt{bbbbbcabaa} \\ f \to & \texttt{aaaaaaabaa}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 9. *The word obtained by applying g_3 to the fixed point of h_6, that is $g_3(h_6^\omega(a))$, does not contain any square of period more than 5.*

The kernel of q_3 is of dimension 3, but using the bounds on the 3 null eigenvalues of h_6 we can compute that $[\varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon, \overrightarrow{0}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{0}]$ has at most 16214 parents by g_3 realizable by h_6. This is checked using Theorem 2. This gives an answer to a weak version of Problem 1.

Theorem 10. *There is an infinite word over 3 letters avoiding abelian squares of period more than 5.*

In order to check Theorem 9, we provide with this article a computer program that applies the algorithm described in the previous sections to $g_3(h_6^\omega(a))$. This program also shows Theorem 4 as a corollary of Theorem 9.

5.5 Avoidability of long 2-abelian squares

Recently, Karhumäki *et al.* introduced the notion of *k-abelian equivalence* as a generalization of both abelian equivalence and equality of words [9]. Two words u and v are said *k-abelian equivalent* (for $k \geq 1$), denoted $u \approx_{a,k} v$, if for every $w \in \Sigma^*$ such that $|w| \leq k$, $|u|_w = |v|_w$. A word $u_1 u_2 \ldots u_n$ is a *k-abelian n-th power* if it is non-empty, and $u_1 \approx_{a,k} u_2 \approx_{a,k} \ldots \approx_{a,k} u_n$. Its *period* is $|u_1|$. A

word is said to be *k-abelian-n-th-power-free* if none of its factors is a k-abelian n-th power. Note that when $k = 1$, the k-abelian equivalence is exactly the abelian equivalence.

The existence of the word from Theorem 9 allows us to answer to the following questions:

Problem 4 ([14, 15])**.** *Can we avoid* 2*-abelian squares of period at least* p *on the binary alphabet, for some* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *?*

Let h_2 be the following morphism:

$$h_2 : \begin{cases} a \to & 11100000000 \\ b \to & 11010001010 \\ c \to & 11111101010. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 11. $h_2(g_3(h_6^\omega(a)))$ *does not contain any* 2*-abelian square of period more than 60.*

Using the same technique as in [15] we can show, by reasoning only on h_2, that any 2-abelian square of $h_2(g_3(h_6^\omega(a)))$ is small (with respect to 60) or has a parent realized by $g_3(h_6^\omega(a))$ which is an abelian square. Thus the largest 2-abelian squares of $h_2(g_3(h_6^\omega(a)))$ have a period of at most $11 \times 5 + 10 = 65$. The value 60 is then obtained by checking all the factors of $h_2(g_3(h_6^\omega(a)))$ of size at most 65. z The value 60 is probably not optimal (the lower bound from [15] is 2). The easiest way to improve this result would be to improve the upper bound on the period for Mäkelä's question.

References

[1] K. E. Atkinson. "An Introduction to Numerical Analysis". In: second. John Wiley, 1989, p. 488.

[2] A. Carpi. "ON ABELIAN POWER-FREE MORPHISMS". In: *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 03.02 (1993), pp. 151–167. DOI: 10.1142/S0218196793000123. eprint: http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/S0218196

[3] J. Cassaigne et al. "Avoiding Three Consecutive Blocks of the Same Size and Same Sum". In: *Journal of the ACM* 61.2 (2014), 10:1–10:17. ISSN: 0004-5411. DOI: 10.1145/2590775.

[4] J. D. Currie and N. Rampersad. "Fixed Points Avoiding Abelian K-powers". In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 119.5 (July 2012), pp. 942–948. ISSN: 0097-3165. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcta.2012.01.006.

[5] R. C. Entringer, D. E. Jackson, and J. A. Schatz. "On nonrepetitive sequences". In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 16.2 (1974), pp. 159 –164. ISSN: 0097-3165. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(74)90041-7.

[6] P. Erdős. "Some unsolved problems." In: *The Michigan Mathematical Journal* 4.3 (1957), pp. 291–300. DOI: 10.1307/mmj/1028997963.

[7] P. Erdős. "Some unsolved problems". In: *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* 6 (1961), pp. 221–254.

[8] J. Justin. "Généralisation du théorème de van der waerden sur les semigroupes répétitifs". In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 12.3 (1972), pp. 357 –367. ISSN: 0097-3165. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(72)90101-X.

[9] J. Karhumaki, A. Saarela, and L. Q. Zamboni. "On a generalization of Abelian equivalence and complexity of infinite words". In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 120.8 (2013), pp. 2189 –2206. ISSN: 0097-3165. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2013.08.008.

[10] V. Keränen. "Abelian Squares are Avoidable on 4 Letters". In: *ICALP*. Vol. 623. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. 1992, pp. 41–52.

[11] V. Keränen. "New Abelian Square-Free DT0L-Languages over 4 Letters." In: *Manuscript* (2003).

[12] M. Lothaire. *Combinatorics on Words*. Cambridge University Press, 1997. ISBN: 9780521599245.

[13] G. Pirillo and S. Varricchio. "On uniformly repetitive semigroups". English. In: *Semigroup Forum* 49.1 (1994), pp. 125–129. ISSN: 0037-1912. DOI: 10.1007/BF02573477.

[14] M. Rao. "On some generalizations of abelian power avoidability". In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 601 (2015), pp. 39 –46. ISSN: 0304-3975. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2015.07.026.

[15] M. Rao and M. Rosenfeld. "Avoidability of long k-abelian repetitions". In: *Mathematics of Computation* (in press 2015).